

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/038,023	01/02/2002	Gregory C. Kime	42390P12859	7085	
8791	7590 05/03/2006		EXAMINER		
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			AVELLINO, JOSEPH E		
12400 WILS SEVENTH F	HIRE BOULEVARD LOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			2143		
		DATE MAILED: 05/03/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/038,023	KIME ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Joseph E. Avellino	2143

	Joseph E. Avellino	2143	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ado	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLÖWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply r	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for ter than SIX MONTHS from the mail	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		•
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amour hortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing o	t of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment and the proposed amen	nsideration and/or search (see Now); ter form for appeal by materially r	OTE below); educing or simplifying	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1:		sjected ciairis.	
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	21. See attached Notice of Non-C owable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-11, 13, 14, 19-22, 28-30. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		vill be entered and an e	explanation of
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a lid sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> wit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under app and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attacl	ned.
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).	
	\$ 4 \$	UDAMB WILE UPERVISORY POTENT	EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

TECHNOLOGY CLIVITE, 2100

Part of Paper No. 20060429

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitation "predetermining content of a data stream prior to receiving a request for the data stream" (claim 1) raises new issues that would require further consideration and a new search.